

Made for Amstex 2.1; inputs amsppt.sty

ADJOINTS OF IDEALS IN REGULAR LOCAL RINGS

JOSEPH LIPMAN

Purdue University

Introduction. Several existing results labeled “Briançon-Skoda theorem” concern an ideal I in a regular local ring R . Of these, the weakest states that if I is generated by ℓ elements, then $\overline{I^{n+\ell-1}} \subset I^n$ ($n > 0$), where “ $\overline{}$ ” denotes “integral closure.” In this paper, we associate to I an integrally closed ideal $\tilde{I} \supset \bar{I}$, the *adjoint* of I , and indicate how it can be used in place of $\bar{I}$ to improve such results. At first, in Theorem (1.4.1), this just involves a recycling of methods from [LS]. (Even that is not without benefit, see Corollary (1.4)). But there’s more. It’s not hard to show that there is an n_0 such that $\widetilde{I^{n+1}} = I\tilde{I}^n$ for all $n \geq n_0$. The basic conjecture (1.6)—which, as we’ll see, quickly implies a number of recently proved Briançon-Skoda-type theorems—says that n_0 can be taken to be $\ell(I) - 1$, where $\ell(I)$ is the analytic spread of I . This conjecture does hold when R is essentially of finite type over a field of characteristic zero, or when $\dim R = 2$.

Section 2 deals with a conjecture, related to Grauert-Riemenschneider vanishing, about certain cohomology groups being zero. Suppose there exists a proper birational map $f: Y \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec}(R)$ such that $I\mathcal{O}_Y$ is invertible and Y is *nonsingular*, i.e., locally regular. (The existence of such a Y in all characteristics is not yet certain, but it is needed in the vanishing conjecture.) Let ω_Y be a dualizing sheaf for f , chosen to be canonical in the sense that its restriction to the open set U where f is an isomorphism is $\mathcal{O}_U$. While the definition (1.1) of $\tilde{I}$ uses neither Y nor any duality theory, Proposition (1.3.1) states that

$$\tilde{I} := H^0(Y, I\omega_Y);$$

and the vanishing conjecture states that

$$H^i(Y, I\omega_Y) = 0 \quad (i > 0).$$

The point is that this vanishing conjecture implies conjecture (1.6). In fact it is thanks to Cutkosky’s transcendental proof of the vanishing conjecture [C] that we know (1.6) holds in characteristic zero.

Section 3 elaborates on the two-dimensional case (where the vanishing conjecture is known to hold, see Remark (2.2.1)(b)). A geometrically motivated treatment of the adjoint of a simple complete ideal I is given in [L4]; close connections with the multiplicity sequence and the conductor ideal of the local ring $\mathfrak{o}$ of the “generic curve through I ” are brought out. Roughly speaking, $\mathfrak{o}$ is the local ring at the generic point of the exceptional divisor (a $\mathbb{P}^1$) on the blowup Y_0 of any 2-generated reduction I_0 of I . Propositions (3.1.1) and (3.1.2) below explore such connections for an arbitrary integrally closed I . If Y is the normalization of Y_0 , then $I\omega_Y$ is just the conductor $\mathfrak{C} := \mathcal{O}_{Y_0} : \mathcal{O}_Y$ (so $\mathfrak{C}$ is independent of the choice of I_0), and it is generated by its global sections $\tilde{I}$. We also find in Proposition (3.3) that $\tilde{I} = I_0 : I$.

Furthermore, in [HS] Huneke and Swanson have shown that $\widetilde{I}$ is just the second Fitting ideal $\mathfrak{F}_2(I)$; and more generally (since $I = \mathfrak{F}_1(I)$), that for all $n > 0$, $\widetilde{\mathfrak{F}_n(I)} = \mathfrak{F}_{n+1}(I)$.

Let me mention in closing that though the material in [L4] dates back to 1966, the results in this paper all came out of an effort to analyze the Briançon-Skoda theorem (3.3) in [AH1].

1. Adjoints and Briançon-Skoda theorems. Let R be a regular noetherian domain with fraction field K , let v be a valuation of K whose valuation ring R_v (with maximal ideal m_v) contains R , and let h be the height of the prime ideal $p := m_v \cap R$. We say that v is a *prime divisor* of R if R_v/m_v has transcendence degree $h - 1$ over its subfield R_p/pR_p . It is equivalent that R_v be essentially of finite type over R , or that v be a Rees valuation of some R -ideal I , i.e., that R_v be R -isomorphic to the local ring of a point on the normalized blowup $\overline{Y}_I := \text{Proj}(\oplus_{n \geq 0} \overline{I^n})$, where $\overline{I^n}$ is the integral closure of I^n . Such a v is a discrete rank-one valuation. (See [A, p. 300, Thm. 1 (4) and p. 336, Prop. 3]. Note also that R , being universally catenary, satisfies the “dimension formula” [EGA III, (5.6.4) and (5.6.1) (c)]; and that $\overline{Y}_I$ is of finite type over R [R, p. 27, Thm. 1.5].)

Definition (1.1). The *adjoint* of an R -ideal I is the ideal

$$\widetilde{I} := \bigcap_v \{ r \in K \mid v(r) \geq v(I) - v(J_{R_v/R}) \}$$

where the intersection is taken over all prime divisors v of R ,¹ and for any essentially finite-type R -subalgebra S of K , the *Jacobian ideal* $J_{S/R}$ is the 0-th Fitting ideal of the S -module of Kähler differentials $\Omega_{S/R}^1$.

Remarks (1.2). (a) $\widetilde{I} \subset R$ because R is the intersection of its localizations at height one primes, and each such localization is the valuation ring of a v for which $v(J_{R_v/R}) = 0$. Hence

$$\widetilde{I} = \bigcap_v \{ r \in R \mid v(r) \geq v(I) - v(J_{R_v/R}) \}$$

where the intersection is taken over all prime divisors v such that $v(I) > 0$.

(b) Being an intersection of valuation ideals, $\widetilde{I}$ is *integrally closed*; and if $\bar{I}$ is the integral closure of I then

$$I \subset \bar{I} \subset \widetilde{I} = \widetilde{\bar{I}}.$$

(c) For any $x \in R$, we have $\widetilde{xI} = x\widetilde{I}$. In particular, $\widetilde{xR} = xR$.

(d) For any two R -ideals I, J , we have $\widetilde{JI} : I = \widetilde{J}$. In particular,

$$\widetilde{I^{n+1}} : I = \widetilde{I^n} \quad (n \geq 0).$$

(1.3). For any finite-type birational map $f: Y \rightarrow \text{Spec}(R)$, we may—and will—identify $\mathcal{O}_Y$ with a subsheaf of the constant sheaf K on Y , so that the

stalks $\mathcal{O}_{Y,y}$ ($y \in Y$) are all R -subalgebras of K . If $g: Z \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec}(R)$ is another such map which factors via f , then g is uniquely determined by Z and Y , and we say that Z *dominates* Y . The *relative Jacobian* $\mathcal{J}_f$ (or, less precisely, $\mathcal{J}_Y$) is the coherent $\mathcal{O}_Y$ -module whose sections over any affine open $\operatorname{Spec}(A) \subset Y$ are given by

$$H^0(\operatorname{Spec}(A), \mathcal{J}_Y) = J_{A/R}.$$

We set

$$\omega_Y := \mathcal{O}_Y: \mathcal{J}_Y \cong \mathcal{H}\operatorname{om}_Y(\mathcal{J}_Y, \mathcal{O}_Y).$$

If Y is normal, ω_Y is a canonical *relative dualizing sheaf* for f [LS, p. 206, (2.3)].

For any *proper* birational $f: Y \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec}(R)$ with Y *normal* and $I\mathcal{O}_Y$ *invertible*, we set

$$\tilde{I}_Y := H^0(Y, I\omega_Y),$$

the ideal obtained by restricting the intersection in Definition (1.1) to those v such that R_v is $\mathcal{O}_{Y,y}$ for some $y \in Y$. So $\tilde{I} \subset \tilde{I}_Y$, and $\tilde{I}_Y$ is a “decreasing” function of Y in the sense that for any proper birational $g: Z \rightarrow Y$ with Z normal, we have $\tilde{I}_Z \subset \tilde{I}_Y$. For any prime divisor w , R_w is the local ring of a point on some such Z ; so the intersection of all $\tilde{I}_Z$ is just $\tilde{I}$.

Proposition (1.3.1). *For any Y as above and having pseudo-rational singularities (for example, Y regular), $\tilde{I}_Y = \tilde{I}$. If such a Y exists then for any multiplicative system M in R , $\widetilde{IR_M} = \tilde{I}R_M$.*

Proof. The pseudo-rationality assumption forces $g_*(I\omega_Z) = I\omega_Y$ for all $g: Z \rightarrow Y$ as above (by [LT, p. 107, Corollary], and since $I\mathcal{O}_Y$ is invertible), whence

$$\tilde{I}_Z = H^0(Z, I\omega_Z) = H^0(Y, g_*I\omega_Z) = \tilde{I}_Y,$$

and $\tilde{I}_Y = \cap_Z \tilde{I}_Z = \tilde{I}$. The rest follows from compatibility of $H^0(Y, I\omega_Y)$ with localization on R . $\square$

Remarks (1.3.2). (a) That a regular Y with $I\mathcal{O}_Y$ invertible *always* exists has been announced by Spivakovsky, but details have not appeared at the time of this writing. For the equicharacteristic zero case, see [H].

(b) In dimension 2, every normal Y birationally dominating $\operatorname{Spec}(R)$ has pseudo-rational singularities, [L1, p. 212, §9], [LT, p. 103, Example (a)]. So in Prop. (1.3.1), we could take Y to be the normalized blowup of I .

(c) Another case in which we could take Y in (1.3.1) to be the blowup of I is when $I = (x_1, \dots, x_r, y)$ where $(x_1, \dots, x_r)$ is a regular sequence such that $R/(x_1, \dots, x_r)R$ is still regular [LS, p. 219, Proposition, (ii)]. Here $\tilde{I}^n_Y$ ($n \geq 0$) is easily calculated: indeed, if L is any R -ideal generated by a regular sequence of length ℓ , and such that all the powers of L are integrally closed, then the blowup X of L is normal and $\mathcal{J}_X = (L^{\ell-1}\mathcal{O}_X)^2$ so that

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{L}^n_X &= R & (n < \ell) \\ &= L^{n-\ell+1} & (n \geq \ell). \end{aligned}$$

²because for a regular sequence $(a_1, \dots, a_\ell)$, we have, e.g.,

$$R_\ell := R\left[\frac{a_1}{a_\ell}, \dots, \frac{a_{\ell-1}}{a_\ell}\right] \cong R[t_1, \dots, t_{\ell-1}]/(a_\ell t_1 - a_1, \dots, a_\ell t_{\ell-1} - a_{\ell-1}),$$

and so $\mathcal{O}^1_{X_\ell} = R_\ell$ is generated by the differentials $d(a_i/a_\ell)$ subject to $a_\ell d(a_i/a_\ell) = 0$ ($1 \leq i \leq \ell-1$).

For $L = I$, we have $\ell = r + 1$ or r .

(1.4). The following is clearly related to the Briançon-Skoda theorem in [LS, p. 204, Thm. 1'']. (Recall the above-given inclusion $\bar{I} \subset \tilde{I}$, where $\bar{I}$ and $\tilde{I}$ are the integral closure and adjoint, respectively, of the R -ideal I .)

We say that I is ℓ -generated ($\ell \geq 0$) if I is generated by ℓ elements.

Theorem (1.4.1). *For any ℓ -generated ideal I in a regular noetherian domain R :*

- (i) $\widetilde{I^{n+\ell-1}} \subset I^n$ for all $n \gg 0$.
- (ii) *If the graded ring $\text{gr}_I R := \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} I^n/I^{n+1}$ contains a homogeneous regular element of positive degree, then (i) holds for all $n \geq 1$.*
- (iii) $\widetilde{I^{n+\ell}} \subset I^n$ for all $n \geq 0$.

Proof. If $Y_0 := \text{Proj}(\bigoplus_{n \geq 0} I^n)$ is the blowup of I , and Y is its normalization, then as in [LS, p. 200, Thm. 2 and proof of Corollary], we have $I^{\ell-1}\omega_Y \subset \mathcal{O}_{Y_0}$ (all inside the constant sheaf K on Y_0). Hence

$$\widetilde{I^{n+\ell-1}} \subset H^0(Y, I^{n+\ell-1}\omega_Y) \subset H^0(Y_0, I^n \mathcal{O}_{Y_0}) = \bigcup_{j \geq 0} I^{n+j} : I^j.$$

For $n \gg 0$, $H^0(Y_0, I^n \mathcal{O}_{Y_0}) = I^n$ (by e.g., [EGA III, (2.3.1)]),³ proving (i).

If $\text{gr}_I R$ has a homogeneous regular element of positive degree, then $I^{n+j} : I^j = I^n$, proving (ii).

In (i), the restriction of n to sufficiently large values is annoying, and may well be unnecessary (see Conjecture (1.6) below). If so, then (iii)—and the following ungainly argument—would be superfluous.

The polynomial ring $R[t]$ is still regular. An immediate consequence of the following Lemma is that for any R -ideal L , $\widetilde{LR[t]} \subset \widetilde{LR[t]}$. (The adjoints are taken in R and $R[t]$ respectively.) With $I' := (I, t)R[t]$, we have that $\text{gr}_{I'} R[t] \cong (\text{gr}_{I'} R)[t]$ has a regular element (namely t) of degree 1, and we can apply (ii) to get $\widetilde{I'^{n+\ell}} \subset I'^n$ for all $n \geq 0$; and since $\widetilde{I^{n+\ell}}R[t] \subset (I^{n+\ell}R[t])^\sim \subset \widetilde{I'^{n+\ell}}$ and $I'^n \cap R = I^n$, therefore (iii) results.

Lemma (1.4.2). *Let w be a prime divisor of the polynomial ring $R[t]$ and let v be the restriction of w to K , the fraction field of R . Then v is a prime divisor of R , and for any R -ideal L ,*

$$v(L) - v(J_{R_v/R}) \geq w(L) - w(J_{R_w/R[t]}).$$

Proof. Let (R_w, m_w) and (R_v, m_v) be the (discrete) valuation rings of w and v respectively. Set $q := m_w \cap R_v[t]$. There are two cases to consider.

(1) $q = m_v R_v[t]$. Then the localization $R_v[t]_q$ is a discrete valuation ring contained in, and hence equal to, R_w . Thus $R_w/m_w = (R_v/m_v)(t)$ has transcendence degree (t.d.) 1 over R_v/m_v .

(2) $q \supsetneq m_v R_v[t]$, whence q is maximal, of height 2, and $R_v[t]/q$ is algebraic over R_v/m_v . Since R_w is essentially of finite type over $R[t]$, hence over $R_v[t]$, therefore R_w is a prime divisor of $R_v[t]$; and so R_w/m_w has t.d. 1 over $R_v[t]/q$. Thus, again, R_w/m_w has t.d. 1 over R_v/m_v .

Now set $p' := m_w \cap R[t]$ and $p := p' \cap R = m_v \cap R$, so that R_w/m_w has t.d. $\text{height}(p') - 1$ over $R[t]/p'$, and, by the preceding remarks, the t.d. of R_w/m_w over R/p is $\text{height}(p') - 2 + \text{the t.d. of } R[t]/p' \text{ over } R/p$. It follows then from [ZS, p. 323, Prop. 1A] that R_v/m_v has t.d. $\text{height}(p) - 1$ over R/p , and so v is indeed a prime divisor of R .

³For a more elementary proof, apply [ZS, pp. 154–155, Lemmas 4 and 5] to the ideal $\mathfrak{B} := (0)$ in $\text{gr}_I R$ to find an integer q such that for any n and any $x \in H^0(Y_0, I^n \mathcal{O}_{Y_0}) \setminus I^n$, $x \notin I^q$ (because the leading form of x annihilates all homogeneous elements of large degree $\dots$) Such an x must lie in $\widetilde{I^{n+q}}$. But then, since $\widetilde{I^{n+q}} \subset \widetilde{I^{n+1}}$, $\widetilde{I^{n+1}} \subset \widetilde{I^{n+q}}$, and $\widetilde{I^{n+q}} \subset I^n$, we get $\widetilde{I^{n+1}} \subset I^n$.

The last assertion follows from the relation

$$J_{R_w/R[t]} = J_{R_w/R_v[t]} J_{R_v[t]/R[t]} = J_{R_w/R_v[t]} J_{R_v/R}.$$

(See [LS, p. 201, (1.1)] for the first equality.) $\square$

Suppose now that R is *local*, with maximal ideal m . For an R -ideal I , the *analytic spread* $\ell(I)$ is the dimension of the ring $\bigoplus_{n \geq 0} I^n/mI^n$. When R/m is infinite, I has an $\ell(I)$ -generated *reduction* $I_0 \subset I$, i.e., $I_0 I^n = I^{n+1}$ for some $n \geq 0$.

Corollary (1.4.3). *For R local, assertions (i) and (iii) in Theorem (1.4.1) hold with ℓ the analytic spread of I . And if I has an ℓ -generated reduction I_0 such that $\text{gr}_{I_0} R$ contains a homogeneous regular element of positive degree, then (i) holds for all $n \geq 0$.*

Proof. By arguing as in the proof of (1.4.1)(iii), with $R[t]$ replaced by its localization $S := R[t]_{mR[t]}$, and $I' := IS$, we reduce to the case where R/m is infinite. Then we can apply (1.4.1) to an ℓ -generated reduction I_0 , noting that for any valuation v such that R_v contains R we have $v(I_0) = v(I)$, whence $\widetilde{I_0^p} = \widetilde{I^p}$ for all $p \geq 0$. $\square$

The following statement was conjectured by Huneke.

Corollary (1.4.4). *If (R, m) is a d -dimensional regular local ring and I is an m -primary ideal, then for all $n \geq 1$,*

$$\overline{I^{n+d-1}} : m^{d-1} \subset I^n.$$

Proof. Replacing (R, I) by $(S := R[t]_{mR[t]}, IS)$ if necessary, we may assume that R/m is infinite. Then I has a d -generated reduction I_0 such that $\text{gr}_{I_0} R$ is a polynomial ring in d variables over R/I_0 ; so Corollary (1.4.3) gives $\widetilde{I^{n+d-1}} \subset I^n$. Thus it suffices to show that $\overline{I^{n+d-1}} : m^{d-1} \subset \widetilde{I^{n+d-1}}$, for which it's clearly enough (see (1.2) (a)) that *for any prime divisor v of R such that $m_v \cap R = m$,*

$$v(J_{R_v/R}) \geq v(m^{d-1}).$$

But R_v contains $R' := R[x_2/x_1, \dots, x_d/x_1]$ for some generating set $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_d)$ of m , and then

$$J_{R_v/R} = J_{R_v/R'} J_{R'/R} = m^{d-1} J_{R_v/R'}$$

(see [LS, p. 201, (1.1) and top of p. 202]), which gives the desired result. $\square$

Lemma (1.5). *Let R be a regular noetherian domain, let I be an R -ideal, and set $G := \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} I^n$, $\widetilde{G} := \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \widetilde{I^n}$. Then $\widetilde{G}$ is a finitely generated graded G -module, and hence there is an n_0 such that*

$$\widetilde{I^{n+1}} = I \widetilde{I^n} \quad \text{for all } n \geq n_0.$$

Proof. $\widetilde{G}$ is a graded G -module because, clearly, $I^p \widetilde{I^q} \subset \widetilde{I^{p+q}}$ ($p, q \geq 0$). Now just observe, with Y the normalized blowup of I , that by (1.3), $\widetilde{G}$ is a submodule of $\bigoplus_{n \geq 0} H^0(Y, I^n \omega_Y)$, which is finitely generated over G [EGA III, (3.3.2)]. $\square$

As we'll see in (2.3) below, the following refinement of Lemma (1.5) holds true when R is essentially of finite type over a characteristic-zero field, or when $\dim R = 2$. (The 2-dimensional case also results from Prop. (3.1.2); see also Prop. (4.2) of [US]. For another example, see Remark (1.3.2)(c).)

Conjecture (1.6). *Let R be a regular local ring, and let I be an R -ideal of analytic spread ℓ . Then*

$$\widetilde{I^{n+1}} = I\widetilde{I^n} \quad \text{for all } n \geq \ell - 1.$$

We illustrate the usefulness of this conjecture (when it holds) by indicating how it implies some Briançon-Skoda-type theorems recently proved for equicharacteristic regular local rings by Aberbach and Huneke. These theorems are all of the form

$$\overline{I^{n+\ell-1}} \subset I^n A \quad (n > 0),$$

where the “coefficient ideal” A depends only on I . Under the assumption that (1.6) holds, we need only show that $\widetilde{I^{\ell-1}} \subset A$ in order to get the stronger assertion

$$\widetilde{I^{n+\ell-1}} = I^n \widetilde{I^{\ell-1}} \subset I^n A.$$

(1.6.1). In [AH2] A is taken to be the sum of all ideals A' such that $IA' = \bar{I}A'$. By (1.6) and (1.2)(b), $\widetilde{I^{\ell-1}} = \widetilde{I}^\ell = \bar{I}\widetilde{I^{\ell-1}}$, so that $\widetilde{I^{\ell-1}} \subset A$.⁴

(1.6.2). In [AH1, p. 350, Thm. 3.3], A is taken to be the intersection of the primary components of $I^{\ell-h}$ belonging to the minimal primes $p_1, \dots, p_e$ of I , where $h := \max_i h_i := \max_i \text{height}(p_i)$. (To check that $\ell \geq h$, just localize at each p_i .) To show that $\widetilde{I^{\ell-1}} \subset A$, localize at $p = p_i$ ($1 \leq i \leq e$), and note that

$$\widetilde{I^{\ell-1}}R_p \subset \widetilde{I^{\ell-1}R_p} \subset I^{\ell-h_i} \subset I^{\ell-h},$$

where the first inclusion is elementary, and the second is given by (1.4.1)(ii).

Moreover, if (1.6) holds, then, with $I_p := IR_p$, we have

$$\widetilde{I_p^{\ell-1}} = I_p^{\ell-h} I_p^{h-h_i} \widetilde{I_p^{h_i-1}} = I_p^{\ell-h} \widetilde{I_p^{h-1}};$$

and hence if $\widetilde{I^{\ell-1}}R_p = \widetilde{I_p^{\ell-1}}$ for all p_i (see Prop. (1.3.1)), then $\widetilde{I^{\ell-1}}$ is contained in the intersection of the primary components of $I^{\ell-h} \widetilde{I^{h-1}}$ belonging to the p_i .

(1.6.3). In [AHT, Thm. 7.6], the above-mentioned Theorem 3.3 of [AH1] is strengthened. Here the inductive description of A is somewhat complicated. So suffice it to say that the inclusion $\widetilde{I^{\ell-1}} \subset A$ can be established by alternately localizing at suitable associated primes of height i and applying (1.6), as i goes, one step at a time, from $\ell - 1$ down to the height of I .

2. A vanishing conjecture. Again, let I be an ideal in a regular local ring (R, m) . Throughout this section we make the following assumption—which is satisfied at least over varieties in characteristic zero [H, p. 143, Cor. 1], or whenever $\dim R = 2$, as follows e.g., from the Hoskin-Deligne formula, see [L3, p. 223, (3.1.1)].

Assumption (2.1). *There exists a map $f: Y \rightarrow \text{Spec}(R)$ which factors as a sequence of blowups with nonsingular centers, such that IO_Y is invertible.*

The basic conjecture (1.6) will be deduced from the following vanishing conjecture.

⁴When $\dim R = 2$ and I is a 2-generated ideal primary for the maximal ideal, then $\widetilde{I} = A$, see [L3, (3.2.1)].

Vanishing Conjecture (2.2). *With I and $f: Y \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec}(R)$ as above,*

$$H^i(Y, I\omega_Y) = 0 \quad \text{for all } i > 0.$$

Remarks(2.2.1). (a) Cutkosky has proved the vanishing conjecture for local rings essentially of finite type over a field of characteristic zero, see [C]. He uses Kodaira vanishing, which fails, in general, in positive characteristic—but that does not preclude the conjecture holding for special maps such as f .

(b) It was noted in (1.3) that ω_Y is a dualizing sheaf for f . By duality [L2, p. 188], the conjecture is equivalent to the vanishing of $H_E^i(Y, (I\mathcal{O}_Y)^{-1})$ for all $i < \dim R$, where $E := f^{-1}\{m\}$ is the closed fiber. For $d = 2$, this dual assertion is proved in [L2, p. 177, Thm. 2.4].

(c) For $I = R$, the conjecture is a form of Grauert-Riemenschneider vanishing, and is readily proved by induction on the number of blowups making up the map f . For arbitrary I , the conjecture is equivalent to the vanishing of $H^i(Y, \mathcal{Q})$ for all $i > 0$ and every invertible quotient $\mathcal{Q}$ of a finite direct sum of copies of ω_Y (because $I\mathcal{O}_Y$ is a quotient of a direct sum of copies of $\mathcal{O}_Y \dots$).

Moreover, if $g: Z \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec}(R)$ is the normalized blowup of I and $h: Y \rightarrow Z$ is the domination map, then using the Leray spectral sequence for $f = gh$, and ampleness of $I\mathcal{O}_Z$, one shows that the vanishing of $R^i h_* \omega_Y$ ($i > 0$) is equivalent to the vanishing of $H^i(Y, I^n \omega_Y)$ for all $n \gg 0$. In other words, Conjecture (2.1) is somewhat stronger than Grauert-Riemenschneider vanishing for “sandwiched singularities.”

(d) Theorem (4.1) of [L6, p. 153] shows that there is an R -ideal L such that Y in (2.1) is the blowup of L , i.e., the Proj of the Rees ring $R[Lt]$ (t an indeterminate), and such that furthermore $R[Lt]$ is Cohen-Macaulay (CM). This leads to another conjecture which can be shown to imply the vanishing one:

CM Conjecture. *Let $L = II'$, with L , I and I' integrally closed R -ideals, and assume that $R[Lt]$ is CM and normal. Then for some $e > 0$, the ideal $IR[L^e t]$ (which is divisorial) is CM as an $R[L^e t]$ -module.*

(2.3). We show next that Conjecture (1.6) follows from the vanishing conjecture.⁵ Thus (1.6) does hold for local rings of smooth points of algebraic varieties in characteristic zero, or when $\dim R = 2$. (See the preceding remarks (a) and (b).)

We first reduce to the case where R/m is infinite by passing, as usual, to $S := R[t]_{mR[t]}$. We have already seen, in proving (1.4.1)(iii), that for any R -ideal L , $\widetilde{LS} \subset \widetilde{LS}$; but now we need equality, which we get by applying Prop. (1.3.1) to $Y \otimes_R S$, with Y as in (2.1). (I don’t know a more elementary way!)

Now let $I_0 = (a_1, \dots, a_\ell)R$ be a reduction of I , so that $I_0\mathcal{O}_Y = I\mathcal{O}_Y$. Let F be the direct sum of ℓ copies of $(I_0\mathcal{O}_Y)^{-1}$, and let $\sigma: F \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_Y$ be the $\mathcal{O}_Y$ -homomorphism defined by the sequence $(a_1, \dots, a_\ell)$. Then we have a Koszul complex

$$K(F, \sigma): 0 \rightarrow \Lambda^\ell F \rightarrow \Lambda^{\ell-1} F \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \Lambda^1 F \xrightarrow{\sigma} \mathcal{O}_Y \rightarrow 0$$

(see [LT, p. 111]) which is locally split, so that $K(F, \sigma) \otimes I^{n+1}\omega_Y$ ($n \geq \ell - 1$) is exact. By (2.2), and with $H^i(-) := H^i(Y, -)$,

$$H^1(I^{n-1}\omega_Y) = H^2(I^{n-2}\omega_Y) = \dots = H^{\ell-1}(I^{n+1-\ell}\omega_Y) = 0.$$

⁵All will be well if Y is a local ring of smooth points of an algebraic variety.

Hence, as in [LT, p. 112, Lemma (5.1)] we can conclude that

$$H^0(I^{n+1}\omega_Y) = IH^0(I^n\omega_Y),$$

i.e., by Proposition (1.3.1), $\widetilde{I^{n+1}} = I\widetilde{I^n}$. $\square$

3. Dimension 2. Except in Lemma (3.2.1), (R, m) will be a two-dimensional regular local ring and I will be an m -primary R -ideal. The purpose of this section is to give a number of alternative descriptions of $\widetilde{I}$.

(3.1). It is pointed out in the footnote on p. 235 of [L4] that when I is a simple integrally closed ideal, the definition of the adjoint of I given in [L4, p. 229] and [L5, p. 299] agrees with the one in this paper (see Proposition (1.3.1)). Let us extend this result—more specifically, the not-quite-correctly stated Corollary (4.1) of [L4, p. 233]—to arbitrary I .

The *point basis* of I is the family of integers $(\text{ord}_S(I^S))_{S \supset R}$ where S runs through all two-dimensional regular local rings between R and its fraction field, and $I^S := (\text{gcd}(IS))^{-1}IS$, the S -transform of I . There are only finitely many S for which $\text{ord}_S(I^S) \neq 0$; these are called the *base points* of I [L4, p. 225]. Two m -primary ideals I' and I'' have the same point basis iff their integral closures coincide [L3, p. 209, (1.10)].

Consider a sequence of regular schemes

$$\text{Spec}(R) =: X_0 \xleftarrow{f_0} X_1 \xleftarrow{f_1} \cdots \xleftarrow{f_n} X_{n+1} =: X$$

where $f_i: X_{i+1} \rightarrow X_i$ ($0 \leq i \leq n$) is obtained by blowing up a point on X_i whose local ring (S_i, m_i) is a base point of I , and where $I\mathcal{O}_X$ is invertible. Denote by $m_i\mathcal{O}_X$ the invertible $\mathcal{O}_X$ -ideal whose stalk at $x \in X$ is $m_i\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ if $\mathcal{O}_{X,x} \supset S_i$, and $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ otherwise. Then

$$\omega_X^{-1} = \prod_{i=0}^n m_i\mathcal{O}_X,$$

see the end of the proof of Corollary (1.4.4), or the footnote in [L4, p. 235]. Let $E_i \cong \mathbb{P}_{S_i/m_i}^1$ be the curve on X corresponding to the m_i -adic valuation; and let $[S_i:R]$ be the degree of the field extension $(S_i/m_i)/(R/m)$. The intersection number $(E_i \cdot E_i)$ is $-d_i[S_i:R]$ for some positive integer d_i , and $d_i = 1$ iff I^{S_i} generates an invertible ideal on X_{i+1} , i.e., iff I^{S_i} is of the form m_i^d ($d > 0$), in which case $(I\mathcal{O}_X \cdot E_i) = d[S_i:R]$. Moreover, as in [L4, p. 235],

$$(\omega_X \cdot E_i) = -(E_i \cdot E_i) - 2[S_i:R].$$

It follows that $(I\omega_X \cdot E_i) \geq 0$ for all i , and hence, by [L1, p. 220, Thm. (12.1)(ii)], $I\omega_X$ is generated by its global sections, i.e., by $\widetilde{I}$, see Prop. (1.3.1). Thus:

$$(3.1.1) \quad I\omega_X = \widetilde{I}\mathcal{O}_X \quad \text{i.e.,} \quad I\mathcal{O}_X = \widetilde{I} \prod_{i=0}^n m_i\mathcal{O}_X.$$

For any $S \supset R$, we have then

$$\text{ord}_S(I) - \text{ord}_S(\widetilde{I}) = \sum \text{ord}_S(m_i).$$

On the other hand, setting, for any two-dimensional regular local T between R and its fraction field, $r_T := \text{ord}_T(I^T)$, $\tilde{r}_T := \text{ord}_T(\tilde{I}^T)$, we have

$$\text{ord}_S(I) - \text{ord}_S(\tilde{I}) = \sum_{T \subset S} \text{ord}_S(m_i)(r_T - \tilde{r}_T),$$

see [L4, p. 301, Remark (1)]. By induction on the length of the unique sequence of quadratic transforms $R := R_0 \subset R_1 \subset \cdots \subset S$ (see [A, p. 343, Thm. 3]), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} r_S - \tilde{r}_S &= 1 & (S = S_1, S_2, \dots, S_n) \\ &= 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{aligned}$$

But since $S_1, S_2, \dots, S_n$ are precisely the base points of I , i.e., those S such that $r_S > 0$, what this amounts to is that $\tilde{r}_S = (\max(0, r_S - 1))$. Thus:

Proposition (3.1.2). *$\tilde{I}$ is the unique integrally closed ideal whose point basis is*

$$(\max(0, \text{ord}_S(I^S) - 1))_{S \supset R}.$$

For any two-dimensional regular local T between R and its fraction field, the point basis of the transform I^T is obtained from that of I by restriction to those S which contain T . Moreover, a theorem of Zariski states that I^T is integrally closed if I is (see e.g., [L5, p. 300]). We have then the following generalization of [L4, p. 231, Thm. (3.1)]:

Corollary (3.1.3). *Adjoint commutes with transform: for all T , $\widetilde{I^T} = \tilde{I}^T$.*

(3.2). For the next result, let I be any non-zero integrally closed ideal in a d -dimensional regular local ring R , such that I has a reduction I_0 generated by a regular sequence $(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_d)$. Let Y_0 be the blowup up of I_0 , let $\pi: Y \rightarrow Y_0$ be the normalization map, and let $\mathfrak{C}$ be the conductor of Y in Y_0 . Then $\mathfrak{C}$ is independent of I_0 :

Lemma (3.2.1). *With the preceding notation, we have $\mathfrak{C} = I^{d-1}\omega_Y$.*

Proof. Noting that $Y_0 \rightarrow \text{Spec}(R)$ is a local complete intersection map (see footnote under (1.3.2)(c)), and arguing as on pp. 205–207 of [LS], we find that

$$\pi_*\omega_Y = \mathcal{H}\text{om}(\pi_*\mathcal{O}_Y, \omega_{Y_0}) = \mathcal{H}\text{om}(\pi_*\mathcal{O}_Y, (I_0\mathcal{O}_{Y_0})^{1-d}),$$

so that

$$\pi_*I^{d-1}\omega_Y = \pi_*I_0^{d-1}\omega_Y = I_0^{d-1}\pi_*\omega_Y = \mathcal{H}\text{om}(\pi_*\mathcal{O}_Y, \mathcal{O}_{Y_0}) = \pi_*\mathfrak{C},$$

whence the assertion. $\square$

More can be said in the two-dimensional case

Proposition (3.2.2). *With the preceding notation, when $d = \dim R = 2$, $\mathfrak{C}$ is generated by its global sections $H^0(Y, \mathfrak{C}) = H^0(Y, I\omega_Y) = \tilde{I}$, i.e., $\mathfrak{C} = \tilde{I}\mathcal{O}_Y$.*

Proof. Choose X as in (3.1), and let $g: X \rightarrow Y$ be the domination map (which exists because $I\mathcal{O}_X$ is invertible). As in the proof of Prop. (1.3.1), $g_*(I\omega_X) = I\omega_Y = \mathfrak{C}$, the last equality by Lemma (3.2.1). Also, by [L1, p. 209, Prop. (6.5)], the $\mathcal{O}_Y$ -ideal $\tilde{I}\mathcal{O}_Y$ is integrally closed. Hence, and by (3.1.1),

$$\tilde{I}\mathcal{O}_Y = g_*(\tilde{I}\mathcal{O}_X) = g_*(I\omega_X) = I\omega_Y = \mathfrak{C},$$

whence the assertion. $\square$

Here is another characterization of $\tilde{I}$.

Proposition (3.3). *Let I be an m -primary integrally closed ideal in a regular local ring R of dimension 2, let $f: Y \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec}(R)$ be the normalized blowup of I , and let $I_0 = (a, b)R$ be a reduction of I . Then with D an injective hull of R/m , we have a duality isomorphism*

$$R/\tilde{I} \cong \operatorname{Hom}_R(I/I_0, D).$$

Hence the R -module I/I_0 depends only on I , and its annihilator $I_0: I$ is just $\tilde{I}$.

Proof. Recall that $H^1(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y) = 0$ [L1, p. 199, Prop. (1.2)]. With $\mathcal{I} := I\mathcal{O}_Y = (a, b)\mathcal{O}_Y$, we have the exact Koszul complex

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{I}^{-1} \xrightarrow{-b \oplus a} \mathcal{O}_Y \oplus \mathcal{O}_Y \xrightarrow{(a, b)} \mathcal{I} \longrightarrow 0,$$

whence an exact homology sequence, with $H^\bullet(-) := H^\bullet(Y, -)$,

$$R \oplus R = H^0(\mathcal{O}_Y \oplus \mathcal{O}_Y) \xrightarrow{(a, b)} H^0(\mathcal{I}) = I \rightarrow H^1(\mathcal{I}^{-1}) \rightarrow H^1(\mathcal{O}_Y \oplus \mathcal{O}_Y) = 0,$$

yielding

$$I/I_0 \cong H^1(\mathcal{I}^{-1}).$$

We already noted that $H^1(\mathcal{O}_Y) = 0$, and since f has fibers of dimension < 2 therefore $H^2(\mathcal{O}_Y) = 0$; so

$$H^1(\mathcal{I}^{-1}) \cong H^1(\mathcal{I}^{-1}/\mathcal{O}_Y).$$

Further, with $E := Y \otimes_R (R/m)$ the closed fiber, we have that $\mathcal{I}^{-1}/\mathcal{O}_Y$ vanishes on $U := Y \setminus E \cong \operatorname{Spec}(R) \setminus \{m\}$. We conclude that

$$H_E^1(\mathcal{I}^{-1}/\mathcal{O}_Y) \cong H^1(\mathcal{I}^{-1}/\mathcal{O}_Y) \cong I/I_0.$$

Denoting the dualizing functor $\operatorname{Hom}_R(-, D)$ by $-'$, we have, by [L2, p. 188],

$$H_E^2(\mathcal{I}^{-1}) \cong \operatorname{Ext}^0(\mathcal{I}^{-1}, \omega_Y)' \cong H^0(\mathcal{I} \otimes \omega_Y)' = (\tilde{I})',$$

and similarly

$$H_E^2(\mathcal{O}_Y) \cong \operatorname{Ext}^0(\mathcal{O}_Y, \omega_Y)' \cong H^0(\omega_Y)' = R'.$$

Recall from (2.2.1)(b) that $H_E^1(\mathcal{I}^{-1}) = 0$. So there is an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H_E^1(\mathcal{I}^{-1}/\mathcal{O}_Y) \rightarrow H_E^2(\mathcal{O}_Y) \rightarrow H_E^2(\mathcal{I}^{-1}) \rightarrow 0$$

whose dual is an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \tilde{I} \rightarrow R \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_R(I/I_0, D) \rightarrow 0$$

which gives the desired conclusion. $\square$

REFERENCES

- [A] S. S. Abhyankar, *On the valuations centered in a local domain*, Amer. J. Math. **78** (1956), 321–348.
- [AH1] I. Aberbach, C. Huneke, *An improved Briançon-Skoda theorem with applications to the Cohen-Macaulayness of Rees algebras*, Math. Annalen **297** (1993), 343–369.
- [AH2] ———, *A theorem of Briançon-Skoda type for equicharacteristic regular local rings*, in preparation.
- [AHT] ———, N. T. Trung, *Reduction numbers, Briançon-Skoda Theorems, and the depth of Rees algebras*, Compositio Math. (to appear).
- [C] S. D. Cutkosky, *A vanishing theorem for local rings*, Math. Research Letters (???) (1994).
- [EGA] A. Grothendieck and J. Dieudonné, *Éléments de Géométrie Algébrique, III*, Publications Math. IHES, **11** (1961).
- [H] H. Hironaka, *Resolution of singularities of an algebraic variety over a field of characteristic zero*, Annals of Math. **79** (1964), 109–326.
- [HS] C. Huneke and I. Swanson, *The core of ideals in two-dimensional regular local rings*, preprint.
- [L1] J. Lipman, *Rational singularities, with applications to algebraic surfaces and unique factorization*, Publ. Math. IHES **36** (1969), 195–279.
- [L2] ———, *Desingularization of two-dimensional schemes*, Annals of Math. **107** (1978), 151–207.
- [L3] ———, *On complete ideals in regular local rings*, Algebraic Geometry and Commutative Algebra, vol. I, in honor of Masayoshi Nagata, Kinokuniya, Tokyo, 1988, pp. 203–231.
- [L4] ———, *Adjoint and polars of simple complete ideals in two-dimensional regular local rings*, Bull. Soc. Math. Belgique **45** (1993), 224–244.
- [L5] ———, *Proximity inequalities for complete ideals in two-dimensional regular local rings*, Contemporary Mathematics **159** (1994), 293–306.
- [L6] ———, *Cohen-Macaulayness in graded algebras*, Math. Research Letters **1** (1994), 149–157.
- [LS] ——— and A. Sathaye, *Jacobian ideals and a theorem of Briançon-Skoda*, Michigan Math. J. **28** (1981), 199–222.
- [LT] ——— and B. Teissier, *Pseudo-rational local rings and a theorem of Briançon-Skoda about integral closures of ideals*, Michigan Math. J. **28** (1981), 97–116.
- [R] D. Rees, *A note on analytically unramified local rings*, J. London Math. Soc. **36** (1961), 24–28.
- [ZS] O. Zariski and P. Samuel, *Commutative Algebra*, vol. 2, van Nostrand, Princeton, 1960.